

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/798,036	03/11/2004	Robert G. James	SB 1661	5979
7590 03/21/2006			EXAMINER	
I. Michael Bak-Boychuk Attorney at Law			FARAH, AHMED M	
P.O. Box 32501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Long Beach, CA 90832			3735	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					6			
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/798	,036	JAMES, ROBERT	G.			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			M. Farah	3735				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY IN THE MINISTRY PERIOD FOR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[7]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from						
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are							
	Applicant may not request that any object				ED 4 404(4)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions.	/ documents have by documents have be of the priority document for the	peen received. Deen received in Iments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review of mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Processing Processin			o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 6, line 7 (the description of Fig. 3) the term "stim ulation" is believed to be a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections (Presence of possible 112, sixth paragraph, limitations)

Claims 1-8 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant recites the term "charge means" in claim 1, line7; claim 5, line 12; and claim 14, line 2, respectively. It is not clear to the examiner whether the applicants want to invoke the 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

When it is not clear whether a claim limitation should be treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, determining the patentability of that claim is difficult because the scope of the claim and the relevance of the prior art cannot be readily determined.

Applicants have an opportunity and obligation to define their inventions precisely during proceedings before the PTO. They are required to specify their inventions, consistent with the guidelines described in MPEP 2181, when a claim limitation invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

A claim limitation will be interpreted to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph if it meets the following 3-prong analysis:

Art Unit: 3735

(A) the claim limitations must use the phrase "means for" or "step for";

- (B) the "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language; and
- (C) the phrase "means for" or "step for" must not be modified by sufficient structure, material or acts for achieving the specified function.

If the applicants wish to have the claim limitations under 112, sixth paragraph interpretation, they must: show why the claim language properly invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; identify the function; and identify the corresponding structure. They must either: (A) amend the claim to include the phrase "means for" or "step for" in accordance with these guidelines; or (B) show that even though the phrase "means for" or "step for" is not used, the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and does not recite sufficient structure, material, or acts which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See Watts v. XL Systems, Inc., 232 F.3d 877, 56 USPQ24 1836 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3735

Claim 1 recites the limitations "selected ones of the molecules" and "said occurrence of energy" in lines 9 and 10, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said tissue" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitations: "said conductor" in line 7; "said electrode" in line 9; "selected ones of the molecules" in line 14; and "said occurrence" in line 15, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James US Patent No. 6,328,760 in view of Lerner et al. US Patent No. 5,300,097.

James discloses all of the claimed limitations, except a rectangular-shaped chamber as claimed. However, the applicant's written description fails to clearly teach the criticality of the shape of the chamber (i.e., rectangular chamber) for the claimed invention. Applicant further fails to disclose that the recited shape of the chamber provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem.

Art Unit: 3735

Nevertheless, the use of rectangular-shaped optical chamber is known in the medical art. Lerner et al. teach a pulsed charge applicator comprising a generally rectangular hallow chamber as presently claimed (see Fig. 2 and col. 3, line 57 to col. 4, line 10).

Therefore, at the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify James in view of Lerner et al and use a chamber having rectangular shape or other alternative equivalent shape in order to deliver treatment energy to a treatment site. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with chambers having different shapes because no advantage is disclosed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 9:30 AM-7: 30 PM, and 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ahmed M Farah Primary Examiner Art Unit 37.85 Page 6

March 13, 2006